REQUEST FOR DEVIATION (RFD) (This Form replaces DD Form 1694)

See Data Delivery Description (DDD) for RFDs at the following website to complete this form: http://contracting.tacom.army.mil/engr/engrchange.htm

1. "C	<u>Distribution Statement:</u> See Paragraph (d) of FAR Clause 52.211-4015 entitled onfiguration Control-Engineering Changes" which is contained in the solicitation.
2.	<u>Date:</u> 03-24-04
3.	DODAAC:
4.	Procuring Activity Address Number:
	□ DAAE07 (TACOM-Warren) □ Other: □
5. 83	Originator Name and Address: Delta Industries Inc., Box 50128, Idaho Falls ID.
6.	Classification:
	☐ Minor☑ Major☐ Critical
7.	Designation for Deviation:
	Model/Type: CAGE Code: 19207 System Designation: Missile Guideance System
8.	Deviation Number: D1-03G60-0Z
9.	Configuration Baseline Affected:
10	Are Other System/Configuration Items Affected?
	☐ Yes. Explain: ⊠ No.
11.	Title of Deviation:

REQUEST FOR DEVIATION (RFD) (Cont'd)

12.	Contract Number and Line Item: DAAE07-03-P-N146
13.	Procuring Contracting Officer:
	Name: Ellen C. Dennis Office Symbol: AMSTA-AQ-AHPC Telephone Number: 586-574-8056
14.	Configuration Item Nomenclature: Box Assembly
15.	Classification of Defect (CD): Not Applicable.
	CD Number: Defect Number: Defect Classification:
16.	Name of Lowest Part/Assembly Affected:
17.	Part Number or Type Designation:
18.	Effectivity:
19.	Recurring Deviation:
	✓ Yes. Explain: Drawing 12298735 is incorrect✓ No.
20.	Effect on Cost/Price: None
21.	Effect on Delivery Schedule:
	∑ Yes. Explain: Gov't caused Delay ☐ No.
22.	Effect on Integrated Logistics Support, Interface, or Software:
	☐ Yes. Explain: No.
23.	Description of Deviation:
	Effect on Product Configuration Documentation or Contract: Incorrect Dimension Effect on Operation Employment: Other Consideration:

REQUEST FOR DEVIATION (RFD) (Cont'd)

24. Need for Deviation: Parts will not Fit together
25. Corrective Action Taken: Change Dimension to 10.26"
26. Signature of Contractor: John Price
27. Reviewer at TACOM:
a. The above Request for Deviation (RFD) has been reviewed by TARDEC and is forwarded to the Procurement Contracting Officer (PCO) for finalization with the following Technical recommendations:
 ☐ Approved. Comments/Conditions: "10.03" located in Zone E-15 is deleted and replaced by "10.26". ☐ Disapproved. Explain:
b. A cost concession should be sought:
☐ Yes. If Yes, indicate the amount of the concession:☒ No.
c. Effect on Delivery Schedule:
☐ Yes. Explain: Changes made won't extend lead time.☒ No.
d. Evaluator:
Name: Daniel Andreski Title: Engineering Tech Signature: //s// Date: 4/08/2004
28. Approving Official:
☑ Approved.☐ Disapproved.
Name: Ellen C. Dennis Title: Contracting Officer Signature: //Signed // Date: 4/14/2004